#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220			. ,	WRITTEN OPIN INTERNATIONAL SEAR					
						ON OF THE CHING AUTHORITY			
					(PCT Rule 4	(3 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/	210 (second sheet)			
	cant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		- · · · - · · · - · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	national application N NEP2004/013744		International filing date (	'day/month/year)	Priority date (	(day/month/year)			
			both national classification	and IPC		r ever			
C07	'D207/32, C07D4	01.04, A61K3	1/40, A61P29/00			1 			
Appli		4) <b>T</b> FF	na agus u can mananan (d) 1 u c			,			
GLA	AXO GROUP LIN	/IITED 							
·			inner relating to the fol	lowing itome:		;			
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ions relating to the fol	iowing items.		-			
	🖾 Box No. I	Basis of the op	noinic						
	☐ Box No. II	Priority							
	🖾 Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	jard to novelty, Inve	ntive step and in	dustrial applicability			
	🛄 Box No. IV								
	Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, investigations applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	🛛 Box No. VI	Certain docum	nents cited						
	Box No. VII								
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the Internation	onal application		1			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION				}			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Swill not be so considered.						has notifed the house apply where has notifed the hing Authority			
	automitta tha IDI	EA a written rep date of mailing	ove, considered to be a ply together, where appl g of Form PCT/ISA/220 (	ronriate, with amend	ments, before th	i8 8XBIIStion di rivaa			
	Muruesa evbii.								
	For further option	ns, see Form F	CT/ISA/220.						
3,	For further option		CT/ISA/220. Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3,	For further option					; ;			
·	For further option	is, see notes to		Authorized Officer		Terporatory			
	For further option For further details The and mailing address	is, see notes to				A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF			
, <b>-</b>	For further option  For further details  me and mailing address  European  D-80298	Is, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.	Authorized Officer		Agrange And Telephone			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013744

_	Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion			
ì.	With r	egaro ngvag	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in se in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following getuing the second second getuing the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2,	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. typ	e of n	naterial:			
		a s	equence listing			
		tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. for	mat o	f material:			
		in v	written format			
	Q	in d	computer readable form			
	c. tim	ne of f	iling/jurnishing:			
		co	ntained in the international application as fited.			
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		l fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	 	has b copie:	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.			

4. Additional comments:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

international application No. PCT/EP2004/013744

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The obv	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applica	inven able h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non lave not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,						
×	claims Nos. 7,8						
þęd	ause:						
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 7,8 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, denot comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
П	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls				

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013744

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Ştatement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: C

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-6,9,10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013744

(III)
Claims 7,8 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body and therefore no preliminary examination is required (Rule 67.1(iv) PCT).

Moreover, it is noted by the IPEA that for the assessment of Claims 7,8 on the question whether their subject-matter is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability under national patent laws can also be dependent on the formulation of the claims. The EPO, e.g., does not recognize the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment as being industrially applicable, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

(V)
Having regard to the International Search Report document

D1: WO 98/25896 A (SEARLE & CO [US]; KHANNA ISH K [US], WEIER RICHARD M [US]; YU YI [US]) 18 June 1998 (1998-06-18), in particular Ex.4-6 therein, with regard to present Rx/R1=opt.substituted alkyl, differ due to p-sulfonyl group compared with present o-ZRx substituent;

D2: EP-A-0 799 823 (SANKYO COMPANY LIMITED) 8 October 1997 (1997-10-08) differs due to present A-R1 group compared with R3 therein;

D3: WO 01/19814 A (MERCK FROSST CANADA & CO; LACOMBE, PATRICK; LABELLE, MARC; RUEL, REJEA) 22 March 2001 (2001-03-22) T.1 therein, discloses 2-thienyl analogue compounds;

D4: WO 03/084917 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN, PAUL; HALL, ADRIAN; HURST) 16 October 2003 (2003-10-16) discloses cyclopent-1-enyl analogue compounds.

The requirements of Art.33(2) PCT appear to be fulfilled.

Moreover, it is noted by the IPEA that P-document

D1: WO 03/101959 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; GIBLIN, GERARD, MARTIN, PAUL; HALL, ADRIAN; HEALY) 11 December 2003 (2003-12-11), published after the claimed priority date and not relevant at this stage discloses numerous compounds falling under the scope of present formula (I) and having similar activity.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013744

The problem to be solved appears to be the provision of selective EP1 over EP3 PGE2prostaglandine receptor antagonists of formula (I) according to Claim 1. Documents (D1)/(D2) disclose structurally similar antiinflammatory 1,2-diaryl derivatives. Doc.(D1), Ex.4-6 therein differs from the present compounds merely due to the position of the sulfonyl group on the 2-phenyl moiety. Doc.(D3)/(D4) teach the possibility of maintaining the antiinflammatory activity with variation of the central 5-membered ring, whereas the apparently essential 1,2-(hetero-)aryl groups are always present. (D3) discloses EP1 antagonistic activity without mentioning specifically the selectivity over EP3 receptors and (D4) discloses on p.166, l.1-3, similar selective EP1-antagonistic over EP3 affinitiy. In this respect reference is made to specific Ex.10-33 and 44-173 of (D4), which differ from the present pyrrole compounds due to the cyclopent-1-enyl group. It is considered that the skilled man, knowing from (D1)/(D3) that similarly substituted pyrrole and thienyl derivatives possess antiinflammatory activity, in combination with the PR1 selectivity over PR3 receptors known from (D4), would have expected that the exchange of the cyclopent-1-enyl moiety through the pyrrol-1-yl group would lead to further PR1 selective antagonists useful in treatment of inflammation and other prostaglandin mediated diseases.

Therefore, in the absence of an unexpected advantage over (D4) the requirements of Art.33(3) PCT do not appear to be fulfilled.

Moreover, reference is made in this respect to the broad and speculative definitions "optionally substituted; (bicyclic) heterocyclyl group; alk(en)yl" without further specification as disclosed on p.4, l.19-21 and p.9-10 of the description; the expression "pharmaceutically acceptable **derivatives**" includes all possible derivatives such as, e.g. prodrugs, and goes far beyond the anion definition for pharmaceutically acceptable salts given on p. 8 of the description.